



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/574,350	04/03/2006	Ludwig Schwoerer	006136.00015	2234		
22907	7590	03/02/2010	EXAMINER			
BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD. 1100 13th STREET, N.W. SUITE 1200 WASHINGTON, DC 20005-4051			ELLIOTT IV, BENJAMIN H			
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER				
2474						
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE				
03/02/2010		PAPER				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Continuation of PTOL-303

1. In the response to the Office action mailed 11/10/2009, Applicant argues United States Patent Application Publication 2005/0174929 A1 to Hayashi (hereinafter "Hayashi") fails to disclose "an accessor configured to access at least one symbol which is adapted to establish a distinguishable power based pattern for pilot carriers in the at least one symbol" (Remarks, page 9). Examiner respectfully disagrees.

Applicant specifically argues the reference Hayashi fails to disclose "an accessor configured to access at least one symbol which is adapted to establish a distinguishable power based pattern for pilot carriers in the at least one symbol". Examiner cited Hayashi, paragraphs [0206-0207] to describe the limitation. In the paragraphs, the differential power calculator takes into consideration differential power responses corresponding to a change amount in the responses for pilot signals in one cycle (at least one symbol). The embodiment averages the change amounts obtained for the pilot-inserted carriers and formulates fading information. Based on this information, the channel responses can be calculated to the degree of the fading (Hayashi: [0212]). Examiner has interpreted the above cited paragraphs to read on the "distinguishable power based pattern", as written in the claims, as the pattern of signals influencing described in Figure 2 and [0084-0086].

Applicant argues the Examiner's interpretation of Hayashi's Figure 2 is incorrect. It is the Examiner's intention to point out how the channel responses for the pilot signals are obtained (every four symbols). Figure 2 and paragraph [0009] in

Art Unit: 2474

combination with paragraphs [0206-028] of Hayashi disclose how the power-based pattern is established. The differential power calculator determines the difference between the channel responses (a plurality of responses) for the pilot signals from the channel response calculation section and the memory. This differential power output from the calculator then corresponds to the change amount in the channel responses for pilot signals in one cycle for a number of symbols (wherein "N" may be one symbol). This would establish a pattern of output power over a plurality of cycles.

Examiner respectfully suggests clarifying the limitation "an accessor configured to access at least one symbol which is adapted to establish a distinguishable power based pattern for pilot carriers in the at least one symbol", as it does not distinguish to what, either a symbol or the accessor, the word "which" is referring.

/B. E./

Examiner, Art Unit 2474

/Steven HD Nguyen/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2473